arXiv:1610.05502v1 [math.AP] 18 Oct 2016

REITERATED HOMOGENIZATION OF HYPERBOLIC-PARABOLIC EQUATIONS IN DOMAINS WITH TINY HOLES

HERMANN DOUANLA AND ERICK TETSADJIO

Abstract. The article studies the homogenization of hyperbolic-parabolic equations in porous media with tiny holes. We assume that the holes are periodically distributed and that the coefficients of the equations are periodic. Using the multi-scale convergence method, we derive a homogenization result whose limit problem is defined on a fixed domain and is of the same type as the problem with oscillating coefficients.

1. Introduction

In porous media with tiny holes, we study the asymptotic behaviour (as $\varepsilon \to 0$) of the solution to the following problem with rapidly oscillating coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial t^2} + \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla u_\varepsilon\right) = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega_T^\varepsilon = \Omega^\varepsilon \times (0,T), \\ A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nu_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (\partial\Omega^\varepsilon \setminus \partial\Omega) \times (0,T), \\ u_\varepsilon = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (\partial\Omega^\varepsilon \cap \partial\Omega) \times (0,T), \\ u_\varepsilon(x,0) = u^0(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\varepsilon, \\ \rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x,0) = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)v^0(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\varepsilon, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ ($N \geq 3$) locally located on one side of its Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, $f \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$, $u^0 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, $v^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $T > 0$ is a fixed real number representing the final time of the process and Ω^ε is a perforated domain whose structure is described as follows. Let $Z = (0,1)^N$ be the unit cube in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and let $T \subset Z$ be a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with a smooth boundary ∂T, a non-empty interior and such that the Lebesgue measure of the set $Z \setminus T$ is different from zero. For $\varepsilon > 0$, we set

$$t^\varepsilon = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : \varepsilon^2(k+T) \subset \Omega\},$$

$$T^\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k \in t^\varepsilon} \varepsilon^2(k+T)$$

and we define the porous medium as:

$$\Omega^\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus T^\varepsilon.$$

It appears by construction that t^ε is finite since Ω is bounded. Hence T^ε is closed and Ω^ε is open. One can observes that Ω^ε represents the subregion of Ω obtained from Ω by removing a finite number of periodically distributed holes $\{\varepsilon^2(k+T) : k \in t^\varepsilon\}$ of size ε^2. In the ε-problem (1.1), ν_ε is the outward unit normal to Ω^ε on $\partial\Omega^\varepsilon \setminus \partial\Omega$. If we set $Z^* = Z \setminus T$ and denote by χ_A the characteristic function of the set A, the perforated domain Ω^ε can also be defined by

$$\Omega^\varepsilon = \left\{x \in \Omega \ : \ \chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) = 1\right\}.$$

Date: October, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35B27, 76M50, 35L20.

Key words and phrases. hyperbolic-parabolic equation, perforated domain with tiny holes, multi-scale convergence.

Hence

$$\chi_{\Omega^\varepsilon}(x) = \chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \qquad (x \in \Omega).$$

The ε-problem (1.1) is constrained as follows:

A1 Uniform ellipticity. The matrix $A(y,z) = (a_{ij}(y,z))_{1\leq i,j\leq N} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)^{N\times N}$ is real, symmetric and there exists a positive constant $\Lambda > 0$ such that

$$\|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N\times\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \Lambda \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \leq i,j \leq N,$$
$$\sum_{ij=1}^{N} a_{ij}(y,z)\zeta_i\zeta_j \geq \Lambda^{-1}|\zeta|^2 \quad \text{for a.e. } (y,z) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and all } \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

A2 Positivity of ρ and β. The functions $\rho(z) \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\beta(y,\tau) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \rho(z) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \\ \beta(y,\tau) \geq \alpha > 0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R} \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

A3 Periodicity. Let $Y = (0,1)^N$, $Z = (0,1)^N$ and $\mathcal{T} = (0,1)$. We assume that the function β is $Y \times \mathcal{T}$-periodic and that for any $1 \leq i,j \leq N$, the function a_{ij} is $Y \times Z$-periodic. We also assume that the function ρ is Z-periodic and further satisfy

$$\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho) = \int_{Z^*} \rho(z)dz > 0.$$

The equations of the form (1.1) are usually called hyperbolic-parabolic equations (H-P equations) and appears when modelling wave processes arising for instance, in heat theory ($\rho = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$), theories of hydrodynamics, electricity, magnetism, light, sound and in elasticity theory ($\rho \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$) (see e.g., [18, 19]). It is also well known [2, 21] that equations of the form (1.1) model the process of small longitudinal linear elastic vibration in a thin inhomogeneous rod, in this case, $\rho \neq 0$ is the linear density of the rod, $\beta = \beta(y) \neq 0$ the dissipation coefficient, A the Young's modulus, f the distribution of the density of an external force directed along the rod and u_ε the displacement function.

The homogenization problem for H-P equations was first studied by Bensoussan, Lions and Papanicolau [3] in a fixed domain by means of compactness arguments and Tatar's test function method. Bakhvalov and Panasenko [2] considered the same problem and utilized the formal asymptotic expansion method combined with maximum principles to prove homogenization results.

To the best of our knowledge, Migorski [12] was the first to address the homogenization problem for H-P equations in perforated domains. In a domain perforated with holes of size ε, he considered a Y-periodic matrix A and assumed some strong convergence hypotheses on ρ^ε and β^ε to prove an homogenization theorem by means of the test function method. Timofte [22] considered the same problem as Migorski but with $\rho^\varepsilon = \varepsilon$ and a non-linear source term. Yang and Zhao [24] addressed the same problem as Migorski by means of the periodic unfolding method. It is worth pointing out that none of the just mentioned works falls within the framework of reiterated homogenization and those in perforated domains deal with holes of size ε.

In the situations where $\rho \neq 0$ and $\beta = 0$, or $\rho = 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$ there are numerous works that are indeed related to the homogenization problem for H-P equations. In this direction we quote [5, 7, 6, 11, 23, 8, 13, 14, 15] and references therein. We also mention that Nnang [17] has studied the deterministic homogenization problem for weakly damped nonlinear H-P equations in a fixed domain with $\rho = 1$.

In this work, the matrix A oscillates on two scale and our domain is perforated with tiny holes of size ε^2 so that our work falls within the scope of reiterated homogenization. Moreover, we have a time dependent function β^ε and we utilised Nguetseng's two scale convergence method [16]. A passage to the limit (as $\varepsilon \to 0$) yields a macroscopic problem which is of the same type as the ε-problem: an H-P equation. The main result of the paper states as follows (the operators appearing therein are defined later).

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that the hypotheses **A1-A2-A3** hold and let u_ε ($\varepsilon > 0$) be the unique solution to (1.1). Then as $\varepsilon \to 0$ we have*

$$u_\varepsilon \to u_0 \qquad \text{in} \quad L^2(\Omega_T),$$

where $u_0 \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$ *is the unique solution to*

$$\begin{cases} \left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\dfrac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}+\left(\int_0^1\int_Y \beta(y,\tau)dyd\tau\right)\dfrac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}-\dfrac{1}{|Z^*|}\operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A}\,\nabla_x u_0\right)=f(x,t) \quad in \ \ \Omega\times(0,T),\\ u_0 = 0 \qquad on \ \ \partial\Omega\times(0,T),\\ u_0(x,0) = u^0(x) \qquad in \ \ \Omega,\\ \left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\dfrac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(x,0)=\left(\int_{Z^*}\sqrt{\rho(z)}dz\right)v^0(x) \ \ in \ \ \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Unless otherwise specified, vector spaces throughout are considered over $\mathbb{R}$, and scalar functions are assumed to take real values. The numerical space $\mathbb{R}^N$ and its open sets are provided with the Lebesgue measure denoted by $dx = dx_1...dx_N$. The usual gradient operator will be denoted by ∇. Throughout, C denotes a generic constant independent of ε that can change from one line to the next. We will make use of the following notations. The centered dot stands for the Euclidean scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^N$ while the absolute value or modulus is denoted by $|\cdot|$.

Let $F(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $(m \geq 3$ integer) be a given function space and let U be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^m$. The Lebesgue measure of U is denoted by $|U|$ and the mean value of a function v over U is denoted and defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_U(v) = \frac{1}{|U|}\int_U v\,dx.$$

We denote by $F_{per}(U)$ the space of functions in $F_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ (when it makes sense) that are U-periodic, and by $F_{\#}(U)$ the space of those functions $v \in F_{per}(U)$ with $\int_U v(y)dy = 0$.

The letter E denotes throughout a family of strictly positive real numbers $(0 < \varepsilon < 1)$ admitting 0 as accumulation point. The time derivatives of a function u, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$ are sometimes denoted by u' and u'', respectively. For $\varepsilon > 0$ the functions $x \mapsto \chi_{Z^*}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})$, $x \mapsto \rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})$, $(x,t) \mapsto \beta(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ and $x \mapsto A(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})$ are sometimes denoted by $\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}$, ρ^ε, β^ε and A^ε, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with some estimates and compactness results. In section 3, we recall the basics of the multi-scale convergence theory and formulate a suitable version of its main compactness theorem to be used in the proof of our main result. We also proved some preliminary convergence results. In the fourth section our main result is formulated and proved.

2. Estimates and compactness results

We introduce the following Hilbert space

$$V_\varepsilon = \{u \in H^1(\Omega^\varepsilon) : u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}$$

endowed with the gradient norm.

$$\|u\|_{V_\varepsilon} = \|\nabla u\|_{(L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon))^N} \quad (u \in V_\varepsilon).$$

We recall that ([3], Theorem 1.1) for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the evolution problem (1.1) admits a unique solution u_ε that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(0,T;V_\varepsilon)\cap L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon'),\\ &u_\varepsilon' \in L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)), \qquad \sqrt{\rho^\varepsilon}u_\varepsilon' \in L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)),\\ &\rho^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon'' \in L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon') \ \text{ and}\\ &u_\varepsilon(0) = u^0, \quad \rho^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon'(0) = \sqrt{\rho^\varepsilon}v^0. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Under the hypotheses* (A1)–(A3)*, the following estimates hold:*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;V_\varepsilon)} \leq C, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\|\sqrt{\rho^\varepsilon}u'_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon))} \leq C, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\|u'_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon))} \leq C, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\|\rho^\varepsilon u''_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;V'_\varepsilon)} \leq C, \tag{2.4}$$

where C is a positive constant which does not depend on ε.

Proof. We follow [3]. Let $t \in [0,T]$. We multiply the first equation of (1.1) by u'_ε and integrate over Ω^ε to get

$$\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\left[\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)u''_\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon + \beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)(u'_\varepsilon)^2 - u'_\varepsilon \operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla u_\varepsilon\right)\right]dx = \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} f u'_\varepsilon dx.$$

Which also writes

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\left[(u'_\varepsilon)^2\right]'dx + \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)(u'_\varepsilon)^2dx - \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}u'_\varepsilon\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla u_\varepsilon\right)dx = \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon} f u'_\varepsilon dx. \tag{2.5}$$

But

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\left[(u'_\varepsilon)^2\right]'dx = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\left[(u'_\varepsilon)^2\right]dx\right) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)u'_\varepsilon, u'_\varepsilon\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)(u'_\varepsilon)^2dx = \left(\beta^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon, u'_\varepsilon\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)},$$

so that, on setting $\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}A^\varepsilon\nabla u_\varepsilon\nabla u'_\varepsilon dx := \mathcal{A}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, u'_\varepsilon)$, the Green formula

$$-\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}u'_\varepsilon\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla u_\varepsilon\right)dx = \int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}A^\varepsilon\nabla u_\varepsilon\nabla u'_\varepsilon dx$$

and the following consequence of the symmetry hypothesis on A

$$-\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}u'_\varepsilon\operatorname{div}\left(A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla u_\varepsilon\right)dx = \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon)$$

allow us to rewrite (2.5) as follows

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\rho^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon, u'_\varepsilon\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \left(\beta^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon, u'_\varepsilon\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, u_\varepsilon) = \left(f, u'_\varepsilon\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}. \tag{2.6}$$

We now integrate (2.6) on $[0,t]$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon(t), u'_\varepsilon(t)\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} - \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon(0), u'_\varepsilon(0)\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t), u_\varepsilon(t)) - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(0), u_\varepsilon(0))\\ + \int_0^t\left(\beta^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon(s), u'_\varepsilon(s)\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds = \int_0^t(f(s), u'_\varepsilon(s))_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds.\end{aligned}$$

Making use of the initial conditions, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho^\varepsilon}u'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon(t), u_\varepsilon(t)) + \int_0^t\left(\beta^\varepsilon u'_\varepsilon(s), u'_\varepsilon(s)\right)_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon\left(u^0, u^0\right) + \frac{1}{2}\|v^0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \int_0^t(f(s), u'_\varepsilon(s))_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds\end{aligned}$$

Using the positivity of β, the boundedness and ellipticity hypotheses on A, the Cauchy-Schwartz and Young's inequalities, one readily arrives at

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\sqrt{\rho^\varepsilon}u'_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda}\|u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{V_\varepsilon} + 2\alpha\int_0^t\|u'_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds\\ &\leq \Lambda\|\nabla u^0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)^N} + \|v^0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \alpha\int_0^t\|u'_\varepsilon(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds + \frac{2}{\alpha}\int_0^t\|f(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}ds,\end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) as easily seen from

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sqrt{\rho^\varepsilon}u_\varepsilon'(t)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)} + \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|^2_{V_\varepsilon} + \int_0^t \|u_\varepsilon'(s)\|^2_{L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon)}ds \\ &\leq C\left(\|u^0\|^2_{H_0^1(\Omega)} + \|v^0\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|f\|^2_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We use the main equation in (1.1) to deduce that

$$\|\rho^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon''\|_{L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon')} = \| - \beta^\varepsilon u_\varepsilon' + \operatorname{div}(A^\varepsilon \nabla u_\varepsilon) + f\|_{L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon')} \leq C,$$

which completes the proof. □

Since solutions of (1.1) are defined on $\Omega_T^\varepsilon = (0,T)\times\Omega^\varepsilon$ but not on $\Omega_T = (0,T)\times\Omega$, we introduce a family of extension operators so that the sequence of extensions to Ω of solutions to (1.1) lives in a fixed space in whcich we can study its asymptotic behaviour. The following result is a classical extension property [5, 12].

Proposition 2.2. *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a bounded linear operator P_ε such that*

$$P_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}\left(L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon)\ ;\ L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))\right) \cap \mathcal{L}\left(L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon))\ ;\ L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))\right)$$

and

$$P_\varepsilon u = u \quad a.e.\ in \quad \Omega_T^\varepsilon, \tag{2.8}$$

$$P_\varepsilon u' = (P_\varepsilon u)' \quad a.e.\ in \quad \Omega_T^\varepsilon, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\|P_\varepsilon u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega^\varepsilon))}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\|P_\varepsilon u\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq C\|u\|_{L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon)}. \tag{2.11}$$

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 is the following estimates that will be useful in the sequel.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and let u_ε be the solution to (1.1). There exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of ε such that*

$$\|P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} \leq C, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\|(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)'\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} \leq C, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\|(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)'\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \leq C. \tag{2.14}$$

We are now in position to formulate our first compactness result.

Theorem 2.1. *The sequence $(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is relatively compact in $L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$.*

Proof. It is a consequence of proposition 2.3 and a classical embedding result. We define

$$W = \{u \in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega)) :\ u' \in L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))\}$$

and endow it with the norm

$$\|u\|_W = \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))} + \|u\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))} \quad (u \in W).$$

It is well known from the Aubin-Lions' lemma that the injection $W \subset\subset L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))$ is compact. The proof is completed since the sequence $(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in W as seen from (2.12)-(2.14)). □

3. Multiscale convergence and preliminary results

In this section, we recall the definition and main compactness theorem of the multi-scale convergence theory [1, 16]. We also adapt some existing results of the multi-scale convergence theory to our framework. We eventually prove some preliminary convergence results needed in the homogenization process of problem (1.1).

3.1. **Multiscale convergence method.**

Definition 3.1. • *A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}\subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ is said to weakly multi-scale converge towards $u_0\in L^2(\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})$ (denoted $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{w-ms} u_0$) in $L^2(\Omega_T)$, if as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,*

$$\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\varphi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dx\,dt \quad\to \iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u_0(x,t,y,z,\tau)\varphi(x,t,y,z,\tau)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau \quad (3.1)$$

for all $\varphi\in L^2(\Omega_T;\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))$.

• *A sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}\subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ is said to strongly multi-scale converge towards $u_0\in L^2(\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})$ (denoted $u_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{s-ms} u_0$) in $L^2(\Omega_T)$, if it weakly multi-scale converges to u_0 in $L^2(\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})$ and further satisfies*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_T)}\to\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})} \quad \text{as } E\ni\varepsilon\to 0.$$

Remark 3.1.

(i) *Let $u\in L^2(\Omega_T;\mathcal{C}_{\rm per}(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))$ and define for $\varepsilon\in E$ $\quad u^\varepsilon:\Omega_T\to\mathbb{R}$ by*

$$u^\varepsilon(x,t)=u\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\qquad \text{for}\qquad (x,t)\in\Omega_T.$$

Then $u^\varepsilon\xrightarrow{w-ms}u$ and $u^\varepsilon\xrightarrow{s-ms}u$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$. We also have $u^\varepsilon\to\widetilde{u}$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ -weak as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$, with

$$\widetilde{u}(x,t)=\iiint_{Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u(\cdot,\cdot,y,z,\tau)\,dy\,dz\,d\tau.$$

(ii) *Let $u\in\mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}_T;L^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))$ and define u^ε like in (i) above. Then $u^\varepsilon\xrightarrow{w-ms}u$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$.*

(iii) *If a sequence $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}\subset L^2(\Omega_T)$ multi-scale converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ to some $u_0\in L^2(\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})$, in the sense of Definition 3.1, then (3.1) still holds for $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}(\overline{\Omega}_T;L^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}))$.*

(iv) *Since $\chi_{\Omega^\varepsilon}(x)=\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ for almost every $x\in\Omega$ and any $\varepsilon\in E$, we deduce from (ii) above that, as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$, $\chi_{\Omega^\varepsilon}$ multi-scale converges weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ to χ_{Z^*}*

The following two theorems are the raison d'être of the multi-scale convergence method [1, 16].

Theorem 3.1. *Any bounded sequence in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ admits a weakly multi-scale convergent subsequence.*

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be a bounded fundamental sequence in $L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))$. There exist a subsequence still denoted by $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ and a triplet (u_0,u_1,u_2) in the space*

$$L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))\times L^2(\Omega_T;L^2(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\rm per}(Y)))\times L^2(\Omega_T;L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\rm per}(Z)))$$

such that, as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$u_\varepsilon \quad\to\quad u_0 \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))\text{-weak} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \quad\xrightarrow{w-ms}\quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i}+\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i}+\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z_i} \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_T)\quad (1\le i\le N). \quad (3.3)$$

Remark 3.2. In theorem 3.2, the functions u_1 and u_2 are unique up to additive functions of variables x,t,τ and x,t,y,τ, repectively. It is therefore crucial to fix the choice of u_1 and u_2 in accordance with our needs. To formulate the version of theorem 3.2 we will use, we introduce the space

$$H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)=\left\{u\in H^1_{\rm per}(Z)\ :\ \int_{Z^*}\rho(z)u(z)\,dz=0\right\}$$

and its dense subspace

$$\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)=\left\{u\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Z)\ :\ \int_{Z^*}\rho(z)u(z)\,dz=0\right\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be a bounded fundamental sequence in $L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))$. There exist a subsequence still denoted by $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ and a triplet (u_0,u_1,u_2) in the space*

$$L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))\times L^2(\Omega_T;L^2(\mathcal{T};H^1_\#(Y)))\times L^2(\Omega_T;L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)))$$

such that, as $E\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$u_\varepsilon \quad\to\quad u_0 \qquad \text{in } L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))\text{-weak} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i} \quad\xrightarrow{w-ms}\quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i}+\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i}+\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z_i} \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega_T) \quad (1\le j\le N). \tag{3.5}$$

3.2. **Preliminary results.** Before formulating some preliminary convergence results needed later, we recall some results on periodic distributions (see e.g., [8, 20]). As above, let $L^2_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$ denotes de space of funtions $u\in L^2_{\rm per}(Z)$ with $\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)u(z)dz=0$, and consider the following Gelfand triple

$$H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\subset L^2_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\subset\left(H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\right)'.$$

If $u\in L^2_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$ and $v\in H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$, we have $[u,v]=(u,v)$ where $[\cdot,\cdot]$ denotes the duality pairing between $\left(H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\right)'$ and $H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$ while $(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the scalar product in $L^2_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$. The topological dual of $L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$ is $L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};(H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))')$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$ is dense in $L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $u\in\mathcal{D}'_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}\times Z)$ and assume that u is continuous on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$ endowed with the $L^2_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$-norm. Then $u\in L^2_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T};(H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))')$, and further*

$$\langle u,\varphi\rangle=\int_0^1\!\!\int_Y[u(y,\tau),\varphi(y,\tau,\cdot)]\,dyd\tau$$

for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$, where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the duality pairing between $\mathcal{D}'_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}\times Z)$ and $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}\times Z)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let*

$$V=\left\{u\in L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)):\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2u}{\partial\tau^2}\in L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};(H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))')\right\}.$$

(i) The space V is a reflexive Banach space when endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|=\|u\|_{L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))}+\left\|\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2u}{\partial\tau^2}\right\|_{L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};(H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))')}\qquad(u\in V).$$

(ii) It holds that

$$\int_0^1\!\!\int_Y\left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2u}{\partial\tau^2},v\right]dyd\tau=\int_0^1\!\!\int_Y\left[u,\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2v}{\partial\tau^2}\right]dyd\tau\qquad\text{for all }\ u,v\in V.$$

We can now formulate the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let E be a fundamental sequence and let $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ be the sequence of solution to (1.1). There exist a subsequence E' of E and a triplet (u_0,u_1,u_2) in the space*

$$L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))\times L^2(\Omega_T;H^1_\#(Y))\times L^2(\Omega_T;L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)))$$

such that, as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \quad\to\quad u_0 \qquad \text{in}\quad L^2(\Omega_T) \tag{3.6}$$

$$\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t} \quad\xrightarrow{w-ms}\quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} \qquad \text{in}\quad L^2(\Omega_T) \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial x_i} \quad\xrightarrow{w-ms}\quad \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i}+\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i}+\frac{\partial u_2}{\partial z_i} \quad \text{in}\quad L^2(\Omega_T) \quad (1\le i\le N). \tag{3.8}$$

The proof of Theorem 3.4 requires two preliminary results and is therefore postponed.

Lemma 3.1. *Let E, E', $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ and the triplet (u_0,u_1,u_2) be as in Theorem (3.4). It holds that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\varphi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dx\,dt$$
$$=\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u_2(x,t,y,z,\tau)\rho(z)\chi_{Z^*}(z)\varphi(x,t,y,z,\tau)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau$$

for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Z)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$\int_Z \chi_{Z^*}\rho(z)\varphi(z)dz=0 \quad \text{for all } (x,t,y,\tau)\in\Omega_T\times Y\times\mathcal{T}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Z)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\int_Z\chi_{Z^*}\rho(z)\varphi(z)dz=0$, we deduce from the Fredholm alternative the existence of a unique $w\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_z w=\varphi\rho\chi_{Z^*} & \text{in } Z\\ w(x,t,y,\tau)\in H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*) & \text{for all } (x,t,y,\tau)\in\Omega_T\times Y\times\mathcal{T}.\end{cases}\tag{3.9}$$

But the restriction to Z^* of the function w defined by (3.9) lives in $\mathcal{C}^3(Z^*)$ so that we have

$$\mathrm{div}(\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon=(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(\Delta_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon \qquad \text{in } \Omega^\varepsilon_{\rm T},$$

we have

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\varphi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dx\,dt \;=\; -\int_{\Omega_T}\nabla u_\varepsilon\cdot\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\nabla_z w)^\varepsilon dxdt$$
$$-\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_{\rm T}}{\rm u}_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{\rm Z^*}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}.$$

As, $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ the first term in the right hand side of the above equality converges to

$$-\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}}(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot\chi_{Z^*}(\nabla_z w)dxdtdydzd\tau$$
$$=\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u_2\chi_{Z^*}(\Delta_z w)dxdtdydzd\tau,$$

while the second one converges to zero. As regards the third term, since the test function therein, $(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon$, depends on the z variable, its limit cannot be computed as usual like in [9, Theorem 2.3] even if its mean value over Y is zero. This requires some further investigations. From

$$\mathrm{div}(\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon=(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm z}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\varepsilon_{\rm T}$$

and

$$(\mathrm{div}_{\rm z}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon=(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega^\varepsilon_{\rm T}$$

it follows that

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}=\varepsilon\int_{\Omega_{\rm T}}{\rm u}_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{\rm Z^*}(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}$$
$$+\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\Delta_y w)^\varepsilon dxdt+\varepsilon\int_{\Omega_T}\nabla u_\varepsilon\cdot\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\nabla_y w)^\varepsilon dxdt.$$

Therefore

$$-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm z}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}\to\iiiint_{\Omega_{\rm T}\times{\rm Y}\times{\rm Z}\times\mathcal{T}}{\rm u}_0\chi_{\rm Z^*}(\Delta_{\rm y}{\rm w})\,{\rm dxdtdydzd}\tau=0$$

as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$. The proof is completed. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let E, E', $(u_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon\in E}$ and the triplet (u_0,u_1,u_2) be as in Theorem (3.4). It holds that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\varphi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dx\,dt$$
$$=\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u_1(x,t,y,z,\tau)\rho(z)\chi_{Z^*}(z)\varphi(x,t,y,z,\tau)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau$$

for all $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Z)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$\int_Y\varphi(y)dy=0\quad\text{ for all }\ (x,t,z,\tau)\in\Omega_T\times Z\times\mathcal{T}.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Z)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ with $\int_Y\varphi(y)dy=0$ and consider $w\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^1_{\rm per}(Z)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ such that

$$\begin{cases}\Delta_y w=\varphi\rho & \text{in } Y\\ w(x,t,z,\tau)\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#}(Y) & \text{for all }\ (x,t,z,\tau)\in\Omega_T\times Z\times\mathcal{T}.\end{cases}$$

Recalling that

$$\mathrm{div}(\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon=(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm y}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm z}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon\quad\text{in }\ \Omega_{\rm T}.$$

the following holds true

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\varphi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dxdt\\ &\quad=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon(x,t)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)(\Delta_y w)\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dxdt\\ &\quad=-\int_{\Omega_T}\nabla u_\varepsilon\cdot\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\nabla_y w)^\varepsilon-\int_{\Omega_T} u_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}-\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_{\rm T}}{\rm u}_\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{\rm Z^*}(\mathrm{div}_{\rm z}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})^\varepsilon{\rm dxdt}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

As $\int_Z\mathrm{div}_{\rm z}(\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w}){\rm dz}=0$ we may follow the lines of reasoning in the proof of Lemma 3.1 to compute the limit of the last term in (3.10). We find that as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ the right hand side of (3.10) converges to

$$\begin{aligned}&\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}}\chi_{Z^*}\Big[-(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_y w)-u_0(\mathrm{div}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})-{\rm u}_2(\mathrm{div}_{\rm z}\nabla_{\rm y}{\rm w})\Big]{\rm dxdtdydzd}\tau\\ &\quad=\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u_1\chi_{Z^*}(\Delta_y w)\,dxdtdydzd\tau=\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} u_1\chi_{Z^*}\varphi\rho\,dxdtdydzd\tau,\end{aligned}$$

and the proof is completed. □

Proof of Theorem 3.4. According to Proposition 2.3, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.3, it remains to prove (3.7) and to justify that the function u_1 in the triplet given by Theorem 3.3 actually lives in $L^2(\Omega_T;H^1_{\#}(Y))$, i.e., u_1 does not depend on the variable τ. We start with the fact that $u_1\in L^2(\Omega_T;H^1_{\#}(Y))$. To prove this, let $\psi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ and consider the function $\psi^\varepsilon\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$ defined by

$$\psi^\varepsilon(x,t)=\varepsilon^3\psi\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right),\qquad(x,t)\in\Omega_T.$$

Using ψ^ε as a test function in problem 1.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\frac{\partial^2\psi^\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}dxdt+\int_{\Omega_T}\beta^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\psi^\varepsilon dxdt\\ &\qquad+\int_{\Omega_T}A^\varepsilon\nabla(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\cdot\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}\nabla\psi^\varepsilon dxdt=\int_{\Omega_T} f\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}\psi^\varepsilon dxdt\end{aligned}$$

Letting $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ in the above equation, the term in the right hand side and the second and third terms on the left hand side obviously converge to zero, so that

$$\lim_{E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi_{\Omega^\varepsilon}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\frac{\partial^2\psi^\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}dxdt=0. \tag{3.11}$$

But

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi^\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}=\varepsilon^3\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t^2}+2\varepsilon\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial t\partial\tau}+\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\tau^2}. \tag{3.12}$$

Plugging (3.12) into (3.11) we realise that

$$\lim_{E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\Omega_T}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)(x,t)\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\tau^2}\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dxdt=0.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, this is equivalent to

$$\int\int\int\int_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}}u_1(x,t,y,\tau)\rho(z)\chi_{Z^*}(z)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial\tau^2}(x,t,y,\tau)dxdtdydzd\tau=0,$$

which, by taking $\psi=\psi_1\otimes\psi_2\otimes\psi_3$ with $\psi_1\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\quad\psi_2\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#}(Y)$ and $\psi_3\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$, also writes

$$\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\iint_{\Omega_T\times Y}\psi_1(x,t)\psi_2(y)\left(\int_{\mathcal{T}}u_1(x,t,y,\tau)\frac{\partial^2\psi_3}{\partial\tau^2}(\tau)d\tau\right)dxdtdy=0.$$

The hypothesis $\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)>0$ and the arbitrariness of ψ_1 and ψ_2 yields

$$\int_0^1 u_1(x,t,y,\tau)\frac{\partial^2\psi_3}{\partial\tau^2}(\tau)d\tau=0\qquad\text{for all}\quad\psi_3\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T}).$$

Taking in particular $\psi_3(\tau)=e^{-2i\pi p\tau}$ $(p\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\})$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 u_1(x,t,y,\tau)e^{-2i\pi p\tau}d\tau=0\qquad\text{for all}\ \ p\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}. \tag{3.13}$$

The Fourier series expansion of the periodic function $\tau\mapsto u_1(x,t,y,\tau)$ writes

$$u_1(x,t,y,\tau)=\sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}}C_pe^{2i\pi p\tau}\qquad\text{where } C_p=\int_0^1 u_1(x,t,y,\tau)e^{-2i\pi p\tau}d\tau.$$

But (3.13) implies that $C_p=0$ for all $p\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$, so that $u_1(x,t,y,\tau)=C_0=\int_0^1 u_1(x,t,y,\tau)d\tau$. This proves that the function u_1 is independent of τ.

We now prove (3.7). It follows from (2.13) and Theorem 3.1 that there exists $w\in L^2(\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T})$ such that, as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$,

$$\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\xrightarrow{w-ms}w\qquad\text{in}\quad L^2(\Omega_T). \tag{3.14}$$

Since (3.6) implies that $\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\to\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T)$ as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$, while (3.14) implies $\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\xrightarrow{w-ms}\mathcal{M}_{Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}}(w)$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega_T)$ as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ it is sufficient to prove that the function w does not depend on the variables y,z and τ to conclude that $w=\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$. Firstly, we prove that the function w does not depend on the variable z. Let $\theta\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$, $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)$, $\psi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Z)$ and $\vartheta\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ and define $w^\varepsilon(x,t)=\theta(x,t)\varphi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\psi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})\vartheta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ for $\varepsilon\in E'$ and $(x,t)\in\Omega_T$. Passing to the limit as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to 0$ in the following equality where the duality bracket are those between $L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$ and $L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))$,

$$\begin{aligned}-\varepsilon^2\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\right),w^\varepsilon\right\rangle&\\ =\int_{\Omega_T}\vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}&\left[\varepsilon^2\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_j}\varphi^\varepsilon\psi^\varepsilon+\theta\varphi^\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z_j}\right)^\varepsilon+\varepsilon\theta\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y_j}\right)^\varepsilon\psi^\varepsilon\right]dxdt,\end{aligned}$$

we obtain (keep in mind that (2.13) implies the boundedness in $L^2(0,T;H^{-1}(\Omega))$ of the first term in the duality bracket just above)

$$0=\int_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}} w(x,t,y,z,\tau)\theta(x,t)\varphi(y)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z_j}(z)\vartheta(\tau)dxdtdydzd\tau$$

which by the arbitrariness of θ,φ and ϑ implies that

$$\int_Z w(x,t,y,z,\tau)\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial z_j}(z)dz=0 \qquad \text{for all } \ (x,t,y,\tau)\in\Omega_T\times Y\times\mathcal{T},$$

which proves that w does not depend on the variable z. Similarly, one easily proves that w does not depend on y by passing to the limit in the following equality (where $w^\varepsilon(x,t)=\theta(x,t)\varphi(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})\vartheta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ for $\varepsilon\in E'$ and $(x,t)\in\Omega_T$)

$$-\varepsilon\left\langle\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left(\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\right),w^\varepsilon\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega_T}\vartheta\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\left[\varepsilon\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial x_j}\varphi^\varepsilon+\theta\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial y_j}\right)^\varepsilon\right]dxdt.$$

As for the independence of u_1 from the variable τ, we have the following equality, where $w^\varepsilon(x,t)=\theta(x,t)\vartheta(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ for $\varepsilon\in E'$ and $(x,t)\in\Omega_T$, and the duality bracket are those between $L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon')$ and $L^2(0,T;V_\varepsilon)$

$$-\varepsilon^2\left\langle\rho^\varepsilon\frac{\partial^2u_\varepsilon}{\partial t^2},w^\varepsilon\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega_T}\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\left[\varepsilon^2\frac{\partial\theta}{\partial t}\vartheta^\varepsilon+\theta\left(\frac{\partial\vartheta}{\partial\tau}\right)^\varepsilon\right]dxdt,$$

which, after a limit passage as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to0$ (keeping (2.4) in mind) leads to

$$0=\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\int_0^1 w(x,t,\tau)\frac{\partial\vartheta}{\partial\tau}d\tau \qquad \text{for all } \ (x,t)\in\Omega_T.$$

But $\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)>0$ and the proof is completed. □

Remark 3.3. *In order to capture all the microscopic and mesoscopic behaviours of the phenomenon modelled by problem (1.1), one must take test functions of the form*

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x,t)=\psi_0(x,t)+\varepsilon\psi_1\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)+\varepsilon^2\psi_2\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right),$$

with $\psi_0\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$, $\psi_1\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_\#(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\psi_2\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$. The Theorem 3.4 informs us that the function u_1 does not depend on the variable τ so that in the homogenization process of problem (1.1) we can instead use test functions of the form

$$\psi_\varepsilon(x,t)=\psi_0(x,t)+\varepsilon\psi_1\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)+\varepsilon^2\psi_2\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\psi_1\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_\#(Y)$ and ψ_0,ψ_2 are as above.

4. The homogenization process

In this section, we pass to the limit (as $\varepsilon\to0$) in the limit in the variational formulation of problem (1.1) and formulate the microscopic problem, the mesoscopic problem and the macroscopic problem, successively.

4.1. **The global limit problem for (1.1).** The setting being that of Theorem 3.4, let $\psi_0\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$, $\psi_1\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_\#(Y)$ and $\psi_2\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$, and consider for any $\varepsilon\in E$, the function $\psi_\varepsilon\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$ defined as in (3.15). We aim at passing to the limit in the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega_T}\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\frac{\partial^2\psi_\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}dx\,dt+\int_{\Omega_T}\beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\psi_\varepsilon(x,t)\,dx\,dt\\&+\int_{\Omega_T}\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\nabla(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\cdot\nabla\psi_\varepsilon\,dx\,dt=\int_{\Omega_T}f(x,t)\psi_\varepsilon(x,t)\chi_{Z^*}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dxdt.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We will consider each term of (4.1) separately. We start with the first term in the left hand side and denote it by L_1. Recalling that

$$\frac{\partial^2\psi_\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}=\frac{\partial^2\psi_0}{\partial t^2}+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_1}{\partial t^2}\right)^\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial t^2}\right)^\varepsilon+2\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial t\partial\tau}\right)^\varepsilon+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial\tau^2}\right)^\varepsilon \qquad \text{in} \quad \Omega_T,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}L_1=&\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\frac{\partial^2\psi_0}{\partial t^2}dx\,dt+\varepsilon\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_1}{\partial t^2}\right)^\varepsilon dx\,dt\\&+\varepsilon^2\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial t^2}\right)^\varepsilon dxdt+2\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial t\partial\tau}\right)^\varepsilon dxdt\\&+\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\int_{\Omega_T}\rho^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)(x,t)\left(\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial\tau^2}\right)^\varepsilon dxdt.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

As $E'\ni\varepsilon\to0$, it is clear that, in the right hand side of (4.2), the second and third terms converge to zero, the fourth term converges to

$$2\iiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z}\rho(z)\chi_{Z^*}(z)u_0(x,t)\left(\int_0^1\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}\left(\frac{\partial\psi_2}{\partial t}\right)d\tau\right)dx\,dt\,dy\,dz=0$$

while, using the weak-strong convergence theorem in $L^2(\Omega_T)$, we realise that the first term converges to

$$\left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial^2u_0}{\partial t^2}\psi_0(x,t)dx\,dt\right).$$

As for the last term, Lemma 3.1 applies and yields the following limit

$$\int_{\Omega_T}\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\left[u_2,\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial\tau^2}\right]dyd\tau\right)dx\,dt.$$

Hence, as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to0$, L_1 converges to

$$\left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial^2u_0}{\partial t^2}\psi_0(x,t)dx\,dt\right)+\int_{\Omega_T}\left(\iint_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}\left[u_2\rho\chi_{Z^*},\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial\tau^2}\right]d\tau dy\right)dx\,dt.\tag{4.3}$$

Considering now the second term in the left hand side of (4.1) which we denote by L_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}L_2=&\int_{\Omega_T}\beta^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\psi_0(x,t)dxdt+\varepsilon\int_{\Omega_T}\beta^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\psi_1\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)dxdt\\&+\varepsilon^2\int_{\Omega_T}\beta^\varepsilon\chi^\varepsilon_{Z^*}\frac{\partial(P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)}{\partial t}\psi_2\left(x,t,\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)dxdt.\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

The second and third terms in (4.4) tend to zero as $E'\ni\varepsilon\to0$. As the first term is concerned, (3.7) and item (iii) of Remark 3.1 help to get the following limit

$$\begin{aligned}&\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}}\beta(y,\tau)\chi_{Z^*}(z)\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\psi_0dxdtdydzd\tau\\&\qquad=|Z^*|\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\beta(y,\tau)dy\,d\tau\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\psi_0dxdt\right).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is classical that the third term in the left hand side of (4.1) converges to

$$\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z\times\mathcal{T}}A(y,z)\left(\nabla_xu_0+\nabla_yu_1+\nabla_zu_2\right)\cdot\chi_{Z^*}(z)\left(\nabla_x\psi_0+\nabla_y\psi_1+\nabla_z\psi_2\right)dxdtdydzd\tau,\tag{4.5}$$

while its right hand side tends to

$$|Z^*|\int_{\Omega_T}f(x,t)\psi_0(x,t)dx\,dt.\tag{4.6}$$

To formulate our global limit problem, we need to prove that $u_2 \in V$ such that we can rewrite the duality bracket in (4.3) using the formula in Proposition 3.2, viz.,

$$\int_0^1\int_Y \left[u_2, \rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial\tau^2}\right] dy\, d\tau = \int_0^1\int_Y \left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial\tau^2}, \psi_2\right] dy\, d\tau.$$

Proposition 4.1. *The function $u_2 \in L^2(\Omega_T; L^2_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}; H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)))$ defined by Theorem 3.4 belongs to V.*

Proof. After the passage to the limit in (4.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}\psi_0\,dxdt + \int_{\Omega_T}\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\left[u_2, \rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2\psi_2}{\partial\tau^2}\right]dyd\tau\right)dxdt\\
&+|Z^*|\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\beta(y,\tau)dyd\tau\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\psi_0\,dx\,dt\\
&+\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_x\psi_0+\nabla_y\psi_1+\nabla_z\psi_2)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau\\
&=|Z^*|\int_{\Omega_T} f(x,t)\psi_0(x,t)dx\,dt,
\end{aligned}$$

for all $(\psi_0,\psi_1,\psi_2)\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\times\Big(\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_\#(Y)\Big)\times\Big(\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\Big)$. Taking in the above equation $\psi_0=\psi_1=0$ and $\psi_2=\varphi\otimes\phi$ where $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$ and $\phi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$, and using the arbitrariness of φ, we obtain

$$\int_0^1\int_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}\left[u_2,\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2\phi}{\partial\tau^2}\right]dy\,d\tau = -\iiint_{Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)\,(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_z\phi)\,dy\,dz\,d\tau.$$

Consider now the linear functional

$$\phi\mapsto -\iiint_{Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)(\nabla_z\phi\,dzy\,dz\,d\tau.$$

It is easy to see that the boundedness of the matrix A implies that the above linear functional is continuous on $\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)$ for the $L^2_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}, H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$-norm. The Proposition 3.2 applies and gives $\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial\tau^2}\in L^2_{\rm per}(Y\times\mathcal{T}, H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$. Therefore $u_2\in V$ and the proof is completed. □

The global limit problem for (1.1) writes as follows:

$$\begin{cases}
(u_0,u_1,u_2)\in L^2(0,T;H^1_0(\Omega))\times L^2(\Omega_T;H^1_\#(Y))\times L^2(\Omega_T;L^2(Y\times\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)))\\
\left(\displaystyle\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}\psi_0\,dxdt + \int_{\Omega_T}\left(\iint_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}\left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial\tau^2},\psi_2\right]dyd\tau\right)dxdt\\
+|Z^*|\left(\displaystyle\iint_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}\beta(y,\tau)dyd\tau\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\psi_0\,dxdt\\
+\displaystyle\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_x\psi_0+\nabla_y\psi_1+\nabla_z\psi_2)\,dxdtdydzd\tau\\
=|Z^*|\displaystyle\int_{\Omega_T} f(x,t)\psi(x,t)dxdt\\
\text{for all } (\psi_0,\psi_1,\psi_2)\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\times\left(\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_\#(Y)\right)\times\left(\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\right).
\end{cases} \tag{4.7}$$

The global limit problem is equivalent to the following system of three problems (4.8)-(4.9)-(4.10):

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\int_{\Omega_T}\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial\tau^2},\psi_2\right]dy\,d\tau\right)dx\,dt \\ \displaystyle+\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_z\psi_2)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau=0 \\ \quad\text{for all } \psi_2\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*); \end{cases} \tag{4.8}$$

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_z\psi_1)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau=0 \\ \quad\text{for all } \psi_1\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#}(Y); \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}\psi_0\,dxdt+|Z^*|\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\beta(y,\tau)dyd\tau\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\psi_0\,dxdt \\ \displaystyle+\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_x\psi_0)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau \\ \displaystyle=|Z^*|\int_{\Omega_T} f(x,t)\psi_0(x,t)dx\,dt\qquad\text{for all } \psi_0\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T). \end{cases} \tag{4.10}$$

We are now in a position to derive equations describing the microscopic, the mesoscopic and the macroscopic behaviour of the phenomenon modelled by (1.1). We start at the microscopic scale.

4.2. **The microscopic problem.** Taking in (4.8), $\psi_2=\varphi\otimes\theta\otimes\phi$, with $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$, $\theta\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(Y)$ and $\phi\in\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\#\rho}(Z^*)\otimes\mathcal{C}^\infty_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T})$ we obtain by the arbitrariness of φ and θ and for almost all $(x,t,y)\in\Omega_T\times Y$,

$$\int_0^1\left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial\tau^2},\phi\right]d\tau=-\int_0^1\int_{Z^*}A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_z\phi)\,dzd\tau.$$

Therefore, for almost all $(x,t,y)\in\Omega_T\times Y$, the function $u_2(x,t,y)$ solves the following variational problem

$$\begin{cases} u_2(x,t,y)\in L^2_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)) \\ \displaystyle\int_0^1\left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2 u_2}{\partial\tau^2},v\right]d\tau+\int_0^1\int_{Z^*}A(y,z)(\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_z v)\,dzd\tau \\ \displaystyle\qquad=-\int_0^1\int_{Z^*}A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1)\cdot(\nabla_z v)\,dzd\tau \\ \text{for all }\ v\in L^2_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)). \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

Moreover, the variational problem (4.11) admits a solution uniquely defined on $Z^*\times\mathcal{T}$ since, if $v_1,v_2\in L^2_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$ are two solutions, then $v=v_1-v_2\in L^2_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))$ will be solution of the linear homogeneous equation

$$\rho(z)\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial\tau^2}+\mathrm{div}_z\left(A(y,z)(\nabla_z v)\right)=0\qquad\text{in}\quad Z^*\times\mathcal{T}$$

with zero Cauchy data, we therefore deduce that $v=0$ in $Z^*\times\mathcal{T}$ and *uniqueness* follows. As customary, let $y\in Y$ be fixed and let $\chi_i(y)$ $(1\le i\le N)$ be the *unique* solution to the microscopic problem

$$\begin{cases} \chi_i(y)\in L^2_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*)) \\ \displaystyle\int_0^1\left[\rho\chi_{Z^*}\frac{\partial^2\chi_i}{\partial\tau^2},\phi\right]d\tau+\int_0^1\int_{Z^*}A(y,z)(\nabla_z\chi_i)\cdot(\nabla_z\phi)\,dzd\tau \\ \displaystyle=-\int_0^1\int_{Z^*}\sum_{1=1}^N a_{ik}(y,z)\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z_k}dzd\tau, \\ \text{for all }\phi\in L^2_{\rm per}(\mathcal{T};H^1_{\#\rho}(Z^*))\qquad(i\in\{1,\cdots,N\}). \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

Multiplying the i$^{\text{th}}$ equation of (4.12) by $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial y_i}$ and then summing the resulting equations over $i = 1, \cdots, N$, it appears that the function $(x,t,y,z,\tau) \mapsto \chi(y,z,\tau) \cdot (\nabla_x u_0(x,t) + \nabla_y u_1(x,t,y))$ is a solution to (4.11). Hence, setting $\chi = (\chi_i)_{1\le i\le N}$ it holds almost everywhere in $\Omega_T \times Y \times Z^* \times \mathcal{T}$ that

$$u_2(x,t,y,z,\tau) = \chi(y,z,\tau) \cdot (\nabla_x u_0(x,t) + \nabla_y u_1(x,t,y)) \,. \tag{4.13}$$

On putting

$$(\nabla_z \chi)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \chi_i}{\partial z_j} \qquad (1 \le i,j \le N),$$

we can deduce from (4.13) that

$$\nabla_z u_2 = \nabla_z \chi \cdot (\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_y u_1) \qquad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T \times Y \times Z^* \times \mathcal{T}. \tag{4.14}$$

4.3. **The mesoscopic problem.** Taking $\psi_1 = \varphi \otimes \phi \otimes \theta$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_T)$, $\theta \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_{\text{per}}(\mathcal{T})$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_\#(Y)$ in (4.9) and using (4.14) and the arbitrariness of φ and θ, we realise that for almost every $(x,t) \in \Omega_T$, the function $u_1(x,t)$ is the unique solution to the following variational problem (where I denotes the $N \times N$ identity matrix)

$$\begin{cases} u_1(x,t) \in H^1_\#(Y) \\ \displaystyle\int_Y \left(\int_0^1 \int_{Z^*} A(I + \nabla_z \chi) dz d\tau \right) \nabla_y u_1 \cdot \nabla_y \phi \, dy = - \int_Y \left(\int_0^1 \int_{Z^*} A(I + \nabla_z \chi) dz d\tau \right) \nabla_x u_0 \cdot \nabla_y \phi \, dy \\ \text{for all } \ \phi \in H^1_\#(Y). \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

For the sake of shortness, we put

$$\tilde{A}(y) = \int_0^1 \int_{Z^*} A(y,z)(I + \nabla_z \chi) dz d\tau \qquad (y \in Y),$$

and recall that there exists a unique $\theta = (\theta_i)_{1\le i\le N} \in \left(H^1_\#(Y) \right)^N$ solution to the mesoscopic problem

$$\begin{cases} \theta_i \in H^1_\#(Y), \\ \displaystyle\int_Y \tilde{A} \nabla_y \theta_i \cdot \nabla_y v \, dy = - \int_Y \sum_k \tilde{a}_{ik} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_k} dy \\ \text{for all } \ v \in H^1_\#(Y) \qquad\qquad (i = 1, \cdots, N). \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

It is easy to check that the function $(x,t,y) \mapsto \theta(y) \cdot \nabla_x u_0(x,t)$ is also a solution to (4.15) so that by the uniqueness of the solution to (4.15) we have

$$u_1(x,t,y) = \theta(y) \cdot \nabla_x u_0(x,t) \qquad \text{for a.e. } (x,t,y) \in \Omega_T \times Y.$$

On stetting

$$(\nabla_y \theta)_{ij} = \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial y_j} \qquad (1 \le i,j \le N),$$

it follows that

$$\nabla_y u_1(x,t,y) = \nabla_y \theta(y) \cdot \nabla_x u_0(x,t) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_T \times Y. \tag{4.17}$$

4.4. **The macroscopic problem.** As far as (4.10) is concerned, we make use of (4.14) and (4.17) to write

$$\nabla_x u_0 + \nabla_z u_1 + \nabla_{z^*} u_2 = (I + \nabla_z \chi)\,(I + \nabla_y \theta)\, \nabla_x u_0 \quad \text{a.e. in } \ \Omega_T \times Y \times Z^* \times \mathcal{T}$$

where the functions χ and θ are the solutions to the problems (4.12) and (4.16), respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\iiiint_{\Omega_T\times Y\times Z^*\times\mathcal{T}} A(y,z)(\nabla_x u_0+\nabla_y u_1+\nabla_z u_2)\cdot(\nabla_x\psi_0)\,dx\,dt\,dy\,dz\,d\tau\\
&=\iint_{\Omega_T\times Y}\left(\int_0^1\int_{Z^*} A(y,z)\,(I+\nabla_z\chi)\,dzd\tau\right)(I+\nabla_y\theta)\,\nabla_x u_0\cdot\nabla_x\psi_0\,dxdtdy\\
&=\iint_{\Omega_T\times Y}\tilde{A}(y)(I+\nabla_y\theta)\nabla_x u_0\cdot\nabla_x\psi_0\,dxdtdy\\
&=\int_{\Omega_T}\left(\int_Y\tilde{A}(y)(I+\nabla_y\theta)dy\right)\nabla_x u_0\cdot\nabla_x\psi_0\,dxdt\\
&=\int_{\Omega_T}\hat{A}\nabla_x u_0\cdot\nabla_x\psi_0\,dxdt,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.18}$$

where $\hat{A}=\int_Y\tilde{A}(I+\nabla_y\theta)dy$. With this notation, the variational problem (4.10) implies

$$\begin{cases}
\left(\int_{Z^*}\rho(z)dz\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\dfrac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}\psi_0\,dxdt+|Z^*|\left(\int_0^1\int_Y\beta(y,\tau)dyd\tau\right)\int_{\Omega_T}\dfrac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\phi\,dxdt\\
-\displaystyle\int_{\Omega_T}\operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A}\nabla_x u_0\right)\psi_0 dxdt\\
=|Z^*|\displaystyle\int_{\Omega_T} f(x,t)\psi_0(x,t)dxdt\qquad\text{for all }\psi_0\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega_T),
\end{cases}\tag{4.19}$$

which is nothing but the weak formulation of

$$\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}+\mathcal{M}_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}(\beta)\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}-\frac{1}{|Z^*|}\operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A}\nabla u_0\right)=f(x,t)\quad\text{in }\ \Omega_T.\tag{4.20}$$

We are almost done with the proof of the following theorem which is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that the hypotheses **A1-A3** hold and let u_ε $(\varepsilon>0)$ be the unique solution to (1.1). Let u_0 be the function defined by Theorem 3.4 and solution to the variational problem (4.10). Then as $0<\varepsilon\to 0$ we have*

$$u_\varepsilon\to u_0\qquad in\quad L^2(\Omega_T),\tag{4.21}$$

where $u_0\in L^2(0,T;H_0^1(\Omega))$ with $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\in L^2(0,T:L^2(\Omega))$, is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases}
\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\dfrac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial t^2}+\mathcal{M}_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}(\beta)\dfrac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}-\dfrac{1}{|Z^*|}\operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A}\nabla_x u_0\right)=f(x,t)\quad in\ \ \Omega\times(0,T)\\
u_0=\ 0\quad on\ \ \partial\Omega\times(0,T)\\
u_0(x,0)=\ u^0(x)\quad in\ \ \Omega\\
\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\dfrac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(x,0)=\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\sqrt{\rho})v^0(x)\quad in\ \ \Omega.
\end{cases}\tag{4.22}$$

Proof. The arbitrariness of the fundamental sequence E in the limit passage in this section and the uniqueness of the solution to (4.22) prove that we have (4.21) for the whole generalised sequence $\varepsilon>0$. Hence, it remains to justify the initial conditions appearing in the macroscopic problem (4.22). We start by justifying that $u_0(x,0)=u^0(x)$ for almost every $x\in\Omega$. This is obvious since $u_0,P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\in C([0,T];L^2(\Omega))$ $(\varepsilon>0)$ and $P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\to u_0$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ with $P_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(x,0)=u^0(x)$.

Next, we justify the initial condition satisfied by $\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(x,0)$. We consider a function $\phi\otimes\varphi$ where $\phi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}([0,T])$ with $\varphi(T)=0$ and $\varphi(0)=1$. After multiplying the main equation in (1.1) by $\phi(x)\varphi(t)$, we integrate over Ω_T^ε and perform an integration by parts with respect to the variable t in the integral

containing the term $\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial t^2}\varphi$ (with the initial condition $\rho(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}(x,0) = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2})v^0(x)$ in mind), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\Omega^\varepsilon}\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)v^0(x)\phi(x)dx-\int_{\Omega_T^\varepsilon}\rho\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\phi(x)\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\varphi'(t)dxdt\\
&\quad+\int_{\Omega_T^\varepsilon}\beta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t}\phi(x)\varphi(t)dxdt+\int_{\Omega_T^\varepsilon}A\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon},\frac{x}{\varepsilon^2}\right)\varphi(t)\nabla u_\varepsilon.\nabla\phi(x)dxdt\\
&\qquad=\int_{\Omega_T^\varepsilon}f(x,t)\phi(x)\varphi(t)dxdt.
\end{aligned}
$$

When $0<\varepsilon\to 0$, using the same arguments as in the derivation of the global limit problem , we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\sqrt{\rho})\int_\Omega v^0(x)\phi(x)dx-\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\varphi'(t)\phi(x)dxdt\\
&\quad+\mathcal{M}_{Y\times\mathcal{T}}(\beta)\int_{\Omega_T}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}\varphi(t)\phi(x)dxdt-\frac{1}{|Z^*|}\int_{\Omega_T}\operatorname{div}\left(\hat{A}\nabla u_0\right)\phi(x)\varphi(t)dxdt \qquad (4.23)\\
&\qquad=\int_{\Omega_T}f(x,t)\phi(x)\varphi(t)dxdt.
\end{aligned}
$$

Keeping (4.22) in mind, an integration by parts with respect to the variable t in the second term of (4.23) yields

$$
\int_\Omega\left(\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(x,0)-\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\sqrt{\rho})v^0(x)\right)\phi(x)dx=0, \qquad (4.24)
$$

which implies

$$
\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\rho)\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(x,0)=\mathcal{M}_{Z^*}(\sqrt{\rho})v^0(x)\quad\text{in }\ \Omega.
$$

The proof is completed. □

References

[1] G. Allaire and M. Briane; *Multiscale convergence and reiterated homogenization*, Proc. R. Soc. Edingurgh Sect. A **126** (1996), 297–342.

[2] N. S. Bakhvalov and G. Panasenko; *Homogenization: Averaging Processes in Periodic Media*, Moscow: Nauka, 1984; English translation: Dordrecht etc.: Kluwer, 1989.

[3] A. Bensousan, J.L. Lions and G. Papanicolaou; *Pertubations et "augmentation" des conditions initiales*, Singular Pertubations and boundary Layer Theory(Brauner et coll.,ed.), LNM, vol. 594, Springer-Verlag, 1977, pp. 10-29.

[4] D. Cioranescu and P. Donato; *An Intoduction to Homogenization*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 17, 1999

[5] D. Cioranescu and J. Saint Jean Paulin; *Homogenization in open sets with holes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **71** (1979) 590-607.

[6] P. Donato and A. Nabil; *Homogenization and correctors for the heat equation in perforated domains*, Ricerche Mat., **50** (2001) 115-144.

[7] P. Donato and Z. Yang; *The periodic unfolding method for the wave equations in domains with holes*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., **22**(2012) 521-551.

[8] D. Dongo and J. L. Woukeng; *Multiscale homogenization of nonlinear hyperbolic equations with several time scales*, Acta Mathematica Scientia, 2011 **31B**(3): 843-856.

[9] H. Douanla; *Two-scale convergence of elliptic spectral problems with indefnite density function in perforated domains*, Asymptot. Anal., **81** (2013), 251-272.

[10] H. Douanla and J. L. Woukeng; *Homogenization of reaction-diffusion equations in fractured porous media*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations, Vol. **2015**(2015), pp.1-23 **191** (2013), 214–242.

[11] F. Gaveau; *Homogénéisation et Correcteurs pour Quelques Problèmes Hyperboliques*, PhD thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris 6, Paris 2009.

[12] S. Migorski; *Homogenization of hyperbolic-parabolic equations in perforated domains* Univ. Iagel. Acta Math., **33** (1996) 59-72.

[13] A. Nabil; *A corrector result for the wave equations in perforated domains*, GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl., **9**(1997) 309-321.

[14] A. K. Nandakumaran and M. Rajesh; *Homogenization of a parabolic equation in perforated domain with Dirichlet boundary condition*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.) **112** (2002) 425-439.

[15] A. K. Nandakumaran and M. Rajesh; *Homogenization of a parabolic equation in perforated domain with Neumann boundary condition*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **112** (2002) 195-207.
[16] G. Nguetseng; *A general convergence result for a functional related to the theory of homogenization*, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, **20** (1989) 608-623.
[17] H. Nnang; *Deterministic homogenization of weakly damped nonlinear hyperbolic-parabolic equations*, Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **19** (2012), 539-574.
[18] E. S. Palencia; *Non homogeneous media and vibration theory*, Lectures Notes in Phys. vol. 127, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
[19] V. A. Steklov; Fundamental Problems in Mathematical Physics, Nauka, Moscow, 1983.
[20] N. Svanstedt and J. L. Woukeng; *Periodic homogenization of strongly nonlinear reaction-diffusion equations with large reaction terms*, Appl. Anal., **92** (2013), 1357-1378.
[21] A. N. Tikhonov and A. A. Samarskii; *Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977.
[22] C. Timofte; *Homogenization results for hyperbolic-parabolic equations*, Romanian Reports in Physics, **62** (2010), 229-238.
[23] J. L. Woukeng; Σ-*convergence and reiterated homogenization of nonlinear parabolic operators*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., **9** (2010), 1753–1789.
[24] Z. Yang and X. Zhao; *A note on homogenization of the hyperbolic-parabolic equations in domains with holes*, Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications **36**(2016) 485-494.

Hermann Douanla, Department of Mathematics, University of Yaounde 1, P.O. Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroon
E-mail address: hdouanla@gmail.com

Erick Tetsadjio, Department of Mathematics, University of Yaounde 1, P.O. Box 812, Yaounde, Cameroon
E-mail address: tetsadjio@gmail.com